Country/entity	Colombia
Region	Americas
Agreement name	Declaración de los Países y Organismos Internacionales, Encuentro con la Mesa Nacional de Diálogos y Negociación: Apoyo a la Salida Política Negociada.
Date	11 May 2001
Agreement status	Multiparty signed/agreed
Interim arrangement	Yes
•	: Interstate/intrastate conflict(s)
level	Colombian Conflict (1964 -)

The Colombian conflict is really a set of conflicts and the peace agreements reflect both different processes relating to different conflict groups and dyads, and processes taking place at different times in a complex peace process history. The Colombian civil war has its roots in the late 1940s and the violent infighting between liberal and the conservative factions. Emerging from the liberal tradition with a thorough grounding in nationalist communist ideology, the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia–People's Army (FARC) began its armed insurrection against the Colombian government in 1964. Other left-wing guerrillas emerged as well, most notably the socialist/populist M-19, which would later be integrated into the formal political system in the peace process of 1990s; the National Liberation Army (ELN), which has strong roots in liberation theology, and the Maoist Ejército Popular de Liberación (EPL) (also part of the 1990 process, less successfully). Several stages of peace processes were undertaken by the various sides, which were further complicated by the emergence of right-wing paramilitary 'selfdefence' forces. The peace agreement between the Colombian government under President Uribe and the main alliance of the paramilitary groups, the United Self-Defence Forces of Colombia (AUC), concluded in 2005 and is still heavily disputed as several remnants are still active, but now subsumed under the heading 'Bacrim' (Bandas criminales). In addition, FARC and ELN maintain a military presence, but both demonstrate a strong interest in completing successful peace negotiations with the government, with the most recent agreements being between FARC and the Government. Close Colombian Conflict (1964 -) Pre-negotiation/process

Conflict nature	Government

Stage

Peace process Colombia III - Arango

Parties	AUSTRIA, BELGIUM, BRAZIL, CANADA, COSTA RICA, CHILE, CUBA, DENMARK, ECUADOR, FINLAND, FRANCE, GERMANY, ITALY, JAPAN, MEXICO, NETHERLANDS, NORWAY, PANAMA, PERU, PORTUGAL, SPAIN, SWEDEN, SWITZERLAND, UNITED KINGDOM, VENEZUELA AND THE VATICAN STATE, TOGETHER WITH THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION.
Third parties	/
Description	This is a declaration by the countries and international organisations invited to assist the negotiation table. These countries and organisations welcome the decision of the negotiating parties to carry on the peace process through the Los Pozos Agreement 9/2/2001. They listened with special attention to the information by the parties on the progress over the last two years. They welcome the desire not to interrupt the dialogues and offer their cooperation. They call on the parties to the conflict to find a political solution. Etc.

AgreementCO_010511_DECLARACI‡N DE LOS PA÷SES Y ORGANISMOS INTERNACIONALES - tr.pdfdocument(opens in new tab) | Download PDF

Groups

Children/youth	No specific mention.
Disabled persons	No specific mention.
Elderly/age	No specific mention.
Migrant workers	No specific mention.
Racial/ethnic/ national group	No specific mention.
Religious groups	No specific mention.
Indigenous people	No specific mention.
Other groups	No specific mention.
Refugees/displaced persons	No specific mention.

Social class No specific mention.

Gender

Women, girls and gender	No specific mention.
Men and boys	No specific mention.
LGBTI	No specific mention.
Family	No specific mention.

State definition

Nature of state (general)	No specific mention.
State configuration	No specific mention.
Self determination	No specific mention.
Referendum	No specific mention.
State symbols	No specific mention.
Independence/ secession	No specific mention.
Accession/ unification	No specific mention.
Border delimitation	No specific mention.
Cross-border provision	No specific mention.
Governance	
Political institutions (new or reformed)	No specific mention.
Fland's un	

Elections No specific mention.

Electoral commission	No specific mention.
Political parties reform	No specific mention.
Civil society	No specific mention.
Traditional/ religious leaders	No specific mention.
Public administration	No specific mention.
Constitution	No specific mention.
Power sharing	

Political power sharing	No specific mention.
Territorial power sharing	No specific mention.
Economic power sharing	No specific mention.
Military power sharing	No specific mention.

Human rights and equality

Human rights/RoLPage 1, Article 4,generalAll participating countries and organisations emphasised their support for the
negotiated political outcome to the internal conflict in Colombia and fervently
encouraged the parties to make all necessary efforts to reach agreements that make it
possible to secure peace with social justice and respect for human rights and avoid
affecting the common heritage of Colombia at any cost.

Bill of rights/similar No specific mention.

Treaty incorporation	No specific mention.
Civil and political rights	No specific mention.
Socio-economic rights	No specific mention.

Rights related issues

Citizenship	No specific mention.
Democracy	No specific mention.
Detention procedures	No specific mention.
Media and communication	No specific mention.
Mobility/access	No specific mention.
Protection measures	Rights related issues→Protection measures→Other Page 2,
	6. We are satisfied with the acknowledgement by the national government and FARC–EP of the importance of the manual eradication of illicit crops. We also stress the acknowledgement of joint responsibility for the protection and recovery of the environment.
Other	No specific mention.

Rights institutions

NHRI No specific mention.

Regional orNo specific mention.internationalHuman rightsinstitutionsHermitian

Justice sector reform

Criminal justice and No specific mention. emergency law

State of emergency No specific mention. **provisions**

Judiciary andNo specific mention.courts

Prisons and No specific mention. detention

Traditional Laws No specific mention.

Socio-economic reconstruction

Development or socio-economic reconstruction	No specific mention.
National economic plan	No specific mention.
Natural resources	No specific mention.
International funds	No specific mention.
Business	No specific mention.
Taxation	No specific mention.
Banks	No specific mention.

Land, property and environment

Land reform/rights	No specific mention.
Pastoralist/ nomadism rights	No specific mention.
Cultural heritage	No specific mention.
Environment	Page 2, Article 6, We are satisfied with the acknowledgement by the national government and FARC–EP of the importance of the manual eradication of illicit crops. We also stress the acknowledgement of joint responsibility for the protection and recovery of the environment.
Water or riparian rights or access	No specific mention.
Security sector	
Security Guarantees	No specific mention.
Ceasefire	Security sector→Ceasefire→General commitments Pages 1-2, Article 5, They stress that they fully agree with the national government and FARC–EP in identifying peace as a national priority and are grateful for the efforts made by the parties to work together to bring the conflict to an end and construct a path that is not based on armed violence. In this respect, it would give them great satisfaction to see the swift completion of the humanitarian agreement mentioned in point 6 of the Agreement of Los Pozos and they stress the importance of obtaining swift results in the negotiations on the ceasefire and cessation of hostilities.
Police	No specific mention.
Armed forces	No specific mention.
DDR	No specific mention.
Intelligence services	No specific mention.
Parastatal/rebel and opposition group forces	No specific mention.

Withdrawal of foreign forces	No specific mention.
Corruption	No specific mention.
Crime/organised crime	No specific mention.
Drugs	Page 2, Article 6, We are satisfied with the acknowledgement by the national government and FARC–EP of the importance of the manual eradication of illicit crops. We also stress the acknowledgement of joint responsibility for the protection and recovery of the environment.
Terrorism	No specific mention.

Transitional justice

Transitional justice general	No specific mention.
Amnesty/pardon	No specific mention.
Courts	No specific mention.
Mechanism	No specific mention.
Prisoner release	No specific mention.
Vetting	No specific mention.
Victims	No specific mention.
Missing persons	No specific mention.
Reparations	No specific mention.
Reconciliation	No specific mention.

Implementation

UN signatory	Page 2, Signatories, TOGETHER WITH THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS
Other international signatory	l Page 2, Signatories, AUSTRIA, BELGIUM, BRAZIL, CANADA, COSTA RICA, CHILE, CUBA, DENMARK, ECUADOR, FINLAND, FRANCE, GERMANY, ITALY, JAPAN, MEXICO, NETHERLANDS, NORWAY, PANAMA, PERU, PORTUGAL, SPAIN, SWEDEN, SWITZERLAND, UNITED KINGDOM, VENEZUELA AND THE VATICAN STATE, AND THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION.
Referendum for agreement	No specific mention.
International mission/force/ similar	No specific mention.
Enforcement mechanism	No specific mention.
Related cases	No specific mention.
Source	Source: Diálogo, negociación y ruptura con las FARC-EP y con el ELN, Biblioteca de la Paz – 1998-2000, Fundación Cultura Democrática, Ed. Álvaro Villarraga Sarmiento, Bogotá D.C., 2009 (book V) p. 239