Peace Agreement Access Tool PA-X https://pax.peaceagreements.org/

Country/

entity

Central African Republic

Region Africa (excl MENA)

Agreement

La Declaration de Coordination des Groupes Armes RCP

name

Date 20 Oct 2018

Agreement

Multiparty signed/agreed

status

Interim Yes arrangement

Agreement/ Intrastate/local conflict (Central African Republic Conflicts (1996 -))

conflict level

Framework/substantive - partial Stage

Conflict

Inter-group

nature

Peace

CAR: Local Processes

process

Parties Antibalaka

> **RJ Sayo RJ Belangar**

FDPC

Third

parties

Description A short agreement between armed groups committing to non-aggression and

continuing a dialogue process.

Agreement document

 $CF_181020_La_Declaration_de_Coordination_des_Groupes_Armes_RCP_tr.pdf \ \ \, \Box \ \ \, | \ \ \, Download$

Agreement document (original language)

CF_181020_La_Declaration_de_Coordination_des_Groupes_Armes_RCP.pdf | Download PDF

Local agreement properties

Process

Formal structured process

type

Rationale

This agreement was concluded with mediation support from MINUSCA and the Centre for Humanitarian Dialogue. The Anti-Balaka and 3R, two of the five armed groups party to this agreement, have previously signed a peace agreement in Bouar (Acte d'Engagement Entre Les Groupes Anti-Balakas de la Nana Membere et 3R, 14/12/2017).

Is there a documented

link to a national peace process? No

Link to national process:

While the agreement references dialogue processes in the African Union (p.1), neither the agreement text nor limited background research suggests a link to the national-level peace process.

articulated rationale

Name of

the West Zone

Locale

Nature Of

Locale

Region

GPS Lat/

Long (DD)

6.192070, 15.227413

Participant

Local armed group

type

Mediator,

Mediator or similar referred to

facilitator or

similar

Mediator

Coordinator: GAL Sidiki Abbas, 3R Group (Retour, Reclamation,

(references) Type of

Rehabilitation) Local armed group

mediator/ facilitator/

similar

Local issues

Ritual/ prayer and process

(including

use of scripture)

No specific mention.

Grievance

List

Page 1, In view of the conflict between the armed groups;

In view of the barbarities which prevent the free movement of persons and

goods;

In view of the provocation by FACAS of armed groups engaged in peace

proceedings (the RJ case);

In view of the theft of cattle, killings of peasants, pillaging and systematic

burning of villages by unidentified armed groups;

Given the removal of peasants and aid workers (the MENTOR case in Paoua);

Cattle rustling/banditry

Page 1, In view of the theft of cattle, killings of peasants, pillaging and

systematic burning of villages by unidentified armed groups;

Social cover No specific mention.